

Planning Team Report

Rezone 9-17 Sturt Street, Wagga from B3 to B4 to enable a multi-storey, mixed use, residential flat building

Proposal Title :	Rezone 9-17 Sturt Street, Wagga from B3 to B4 to enable a multi-storey, mixed use, residential flat building				
Proposal Summary :	To: (a) rezone from B3 Commercial Core Zone to B4 Mixed Use Zone, (b) amend the Height of Building Map from O (16 metres) to T (25 metres), and (c) amend the Floor Space Ratio Map from T (2:1) to X (4:1), for 9-17 Sturt Street, Wagga Wagga.				
PP Number :	PP_2015_WAGGA_003_00	Dop File No :	15/17608-1		
roposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	03-Dec-2015	LGA covered :	Wagga Wagga		
Region :	Southern	RPA :	Wagga Wagga City Council		
State Electorate :	WAGGA WAGGA	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
ocation Details					
Street : 9-1	7 Sturt Street				
Suburb :	City :	Wagga Wagga	Postcode : 2650		
Land Parcel : Lo	t 1, DP 1121963 and Lot 2, DP 113	8428			
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Meredith McIntyre				
Contact Number :	0262297912				
Contact Email :	meredith.mcintyre@planning.ns	sw.gov.au			
RPA Contact Deta	ils				
Contact Name :	Crystal Golden				
Contact Number :	0269265996				
Contact Email :	golden.crystal@wagga.nsw.gov	v.au			
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Deanne Frankel				
Contact Number :	0242249468				
Contact Email :	deanne.frankel@planning.nsw.g				

-

÷

-

-

1

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy :	N/A
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	building of mixed use with commence due to the Globa In 2010, the Wagga Wagga Commercial Core which do	Council approved a development residential, commercial and car pa al Financial Crisis and the develop Local Environmental Plan 2010 wa es not allow for mixed use develo pear to be any strategic reason fo e.	arking. Construction did not oment consent lapsed in 2010. as made. It zoned the site B3 pment other than shop top
equacy Assessmer	nt		
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	ojectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	To amend the LEP to fac	ilitate a higher order developmen	t that is suitable to the site.
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)	(b)	
Is an explanation of pro	ovisions provided? Yes		
Comment :	B4 Mixed Use Zone. Amend the Height of Bui	LZN_003F to rezone the subject Iding Map HOB_003B from O (16r	

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S 117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

- 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land

SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS:

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

1.1 BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL ZONES: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it will affect land within an existing or proposed business or industrial zone. The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.

2.1 ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION ZONES: Council has identified that this Direction applies to the planning proposal, however it does not apply as it will not affect land within an environment protection zone or land otherwise identified for environment protection purposes.

2.3 HERITAGE CONSERVATION: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it affects items, places, buildings, works, relics moveable objects or precincts of environmental heritage significance.

The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.

2.4 RECREATION VEHICLE AREAS: Council has identified that this Direction applies to the planning proposal, however it does not apply as it will not affect land to be developed for the purposes of a recreation vehicle area.

3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it will affect land within an existing or proposed residential zone or any other zone in which significant residential development is permitted or proposed to be permitted. The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.

3.2 CARAVAN PARKS AND MANUFACTURED HOME ESTATES: Council has identified that this Direction applies to the planning proposal, however it does not apply as it will not affect land or provisions relating to caravan parks or manufactured home estates.

3.3 HOME OCCUPATIONS: Council has identified that this Direction applies to the planning proposal, however it does not apply as it will not affect land or provisions relating to the permissibility of home occupations in dwelling houses.

3.4 INTEGRATING LAND USE AND TRANSPORT: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it will create/alter/remove a zone/provision relating to urban land. The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.

4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it will

	create, remove or alter a zone or a provision that affects flood prone land.
	The proposal is considered to be INCONSISTENT with this direction as it
	contains provisions that apply to the flood planning area that: permit development in floodway areas
	permit development that will result in significant flood impacts to other properties
	permits a significant increase in the development of that land
	RECOMMENDATION: The Secretary can be satisfied that the inconsistency is in
	accordance with a floodplain risk management plan prepared in accordance with the
	principles and guidelines of the Floodplain Development Manual 2005.
	4.4 PLANNING FOR BUSHFIRE PROTECTION: This Direction does apply to the planning
	proposal as it will affect/is in close proximity to land mapped as bushfire prone land.
	RECOMMENDATION: The Secretary can be satisfied that the requirements of the
	Direction have been met. A condition should be included in the Gateway determination
	that consultation should be undertaken with the Rural Fire Service in accordance with the Direction.
	6.1 APPROVAL AND REFERRAL REQUIREMENTS: Council has identified that this
	Direction applies to the planning proposal, however, it does not apply as it does not
	include provisions that require the concurrence, consultation or referral of development applications to the Minister or a public authority.
	6.2 RESERVING LAND FOR PUBLIC PURPOSES : Council has identified that this
	Direction applies to the planning proposal, however, it does not apply as it does not create/alter/reduce existing zonings or reservations of land for public purposes and requires the approval of the relevant public authority and the Secretary of the Department.
	6.3 SITE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS: This Direction does apply to the planning proposal as it allows a particular development to be carried out. The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.
Have inconsistencies	s with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	
Mapping Provided	1 - s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided?	? Yes
Comment :	The mapping is adequate for exhibition purposes. Technical LEP amendment maps will be required prior to completing the LEP.
Community consu	ultation - s55(2)(e)
Has community cons	sultation been proposed? Yes
Comment :	Council proposes a 28 day exhibition.
Additional Directo	or General's requirements
Are there any additio	onal Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

-

oposal Assessment					
Principal LEP:					
Due Date :					
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Wagga Wagga Local Environmental Plan 2010				
Assessment Criteria					
Need for planning proposal :	Council has been approached about a future development application for the site that involves the development of an approximately 6 storey mixed use building that includes commercial, residential and car parking development. The current zoning does not permit such a development on the site, despite a previous approval for a similar development that lapsed in 2010.				
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The proposal is not inconsistent with the Community Strategic Plan. The proposal is consistent with the Riverside Masterplan (2010) as it increases the floor space ratio, increases activity and housing choice, creates mixed use on the vacant land, and is considered "suitable development" as per the masterplan for this site.				
	The proposal is co	onsistent wit	h Council's Secretary-endors	ed Spatial Plan 2013-2043.	
Environmental social					
economic impacts :					
Assessment Process	Routine		Community Consultation	28 Days	
Timeframe to make	12 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Office of Environr NSW Rural Fire Se		itage		
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional st	udies, if required.				
If Other, provide reasons	5 1				
Identify any internal con	sultations, if required	¹			
No internal consultation	n required				

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons : Documents Document File Name DocumentType Name Is Public Wagga Wagga City Council 03-12-2015_Planning Proposal Yes Proposal to rezone and amend the Height and Floor Space Ratio for 9-18 Sturt Street Wagga Wagga_.pdf Planning Team Recommendation Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions **1.1 Business and Industrial Zones** S.117 directions: 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas 3.1 Residential Zones 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions It is RECOMMENDED that the General Manager, as delegate of the Minister for Planning, Additional Information : determine under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that an amendment to the Wagga Wagga Local Environmental Plan 2010 to rezone the subject land to B4 Mixed Use Zone and amend the Height of Buildings Map and Floor Space Ratio Map to T (25m) and X (4:1) accordingly. 1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows: (a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of 'A guide to preparing local environmental plans (Planning and Infrastructure, 2013)'. 2. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act: Office of Environment and Heritage (s117 Direction 2.3 (Heritage) and Direction 4.3 (Flooding)) NSW Rural Fire Service (s117 Direction 4.4) Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material. Each public authority is to be given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal, or to indicate that they will require additional time to comment on the proposal. Public authorities may request additional information or additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal. 3. No public hearing is required to be held into the matter under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to

conduct a public hearing (for example in response to a submission or if reclassifying

	land).			
	4. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.			
	5. Council be authorised to use the Minister's plan making functions under sections 59(2),(3)&(4) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.			
	6. SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS - It is recommended that: (a) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with s117 Directions 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones, 2.3 Heritage Conservation, 3.1 Residential Zones, 3.4. Integrating Land Use and Transport, 4.3 Flood Prone Land, 6.3 Site Specific Provisions.			
	(b) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal will be consistent with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection, when Council has consulted with the Rural Fire Service prior to undertaking community consultation;			
	(d) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are of minor significance; and			
	(e) No further consultation or referral is required in relation to s117 Directions while the planning proposal remains in its current form.			
	7. The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs.			
Supporting Reasons :	This is a relatively minor proposal. It is consistent with the land use in place prior to the new principal LEP. There is no compelling reason for this change of policy not to proceed. It is consistent with the Council's local strategic planning work.			
Signature:	1 H			
Printed Name:	Danne Frankel Date: 18/12/15			

2	